

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10112

---

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Be it known that WE, JOACHIM EBERMANN, KAY GRAMMATKE and HORST STIEHLER, citizens of Germany, whose post office addresses are AM Suedhang 57, 09439 Amtsberg, Germany; Rabensteiner Str. 7, 09246 Pleiβa, Germany; and Wittgensdorfer St. 4, 09217, Burgstaedt, Germany, respectively, have invented an improvement in

METHOD FOR PROCESS-VARIABLE-DEPENDENT  
IDENTIFICATION SIGNAL EMISSION

of which the following is a

SPECIFICATION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

**[0001]** The invention relates to a method for process-variable-dependent identification signal emission for an open-loop and/or closed-loop program with cyclic sampling of process variables for a technical process.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

**[0002]** Today, machines and systems are controlled by microcomputer-aided control assemblies in which process variables of the technical process, such as movement, pressure, temperature etc., are processed in an open-loop and/or closed-loop control

program. The process variables are generally sampled and processed cyclically. At the sampling time, the instantaneous values of the process variables are recorded, and they are passed on for processing. In the time period between the two samples, the open-loop and/or closed-loop control program refers to the instantaneous values during processing steps.

**[0003]** For specific control tasks, it is necessary to generate specific identification signals for a machine or system when threshold values of a process variable are overshot or undershot. Additional comparison and circuit parts in the form of memories are provided for this purpose, in which the instantaneous value of the process variable is continuously compared with the threshold value. An identification signal is generated if the threshold value is crossed in a specific direction, or is in the region between two threshold values. One such comparison and circuit part is required for each process variable to be monitored.

**[0004]** The object of the invention is to provide a cost-effective and technically optimized solution for monitoring the overshooting or undershooting of process variable threshold values in a technical system in which process parameters are sampled cyclically.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

**[0005]** According to the present invention, the aforesaid object is achieved by determining a threshold value crossing time from at least two previous samples of a process variable having at least one threshold value. In accordance with this novel

method there is no need for any comparison and circuit parts, since a threshold value crossing time is predicted with the aid of program steps.

**[0006]** In a preferred embodiment of the present invention a timing mechanism is started with the time difference between the process variable detection and the threshold value crossing, and provides an identification signal when this time difference is reached. A hardware mechanism can thus be triggered independently of the sampling cycle, or else independently of a processor clock, with the aid of the identification signal.

**[0007]** In another preferred embodiment of the present invention a single-stage, or multi-stage command sequence is processed on the basis of one occurrence of the identification signal of the lapsed time difference. Before a specific action is triggered, the identification signal can be used to call up a command sequence, such as a subroutine, which is called up by an interrupt and in which decisions are made depending on the process situation.

**[0008]** In yet a further preferred embodiment of the present invention is that the threshold value crossing time is determined from samples of a process variable with the aid of a mathematical approximation function. This makes it possible not only to characterize the process variable profile by linear extrapolation of at least the last two samples, but also to describe a process-variable profile with the aid of various mathematical functions. This allows the process variables to be described accurately and adaptively.

[0009] A further preferred embodiment of the present invention includes the following:

- characteristic values of a technical process are detected;
- the characteristic values are used to form a model simulation of the open-loop and/or closed-loop control path in an open-loop and/or closed-loop control program;
- at least one manipulated variable for the technical process is supplied to the model simulation; and
- a threshold value crossing time is determined by the model simulation.

[0010] A further preferred embodiment of the present invention is where the threshold value crossing time is determined in each remaining sampling cycle, using updated instantaneous values of the process variable; the timing mechanism is initialized with a remaining time difference in each sampling cycle which precedes the sampling cycle in which the threshold value crossing is expected; and the timing mechanism is restarted with an updated time difference in the following sampling cycles, provided the threshold value crossing has not occurred.

#### DRAWINGS

[0011] A preferred embodiment of the present invention is described in more detail in the following text and in the context of the drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a linearly approximated process variable profile of cyclic samples;

Figure 2 shows a process variable profile of cyclic samples, which is approximated using a mathematical function; and

Figure 3 shows a symbolic closed-loop control program with a model simulation of an open-loop and/or closed-loop control path.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

**[0012]** In the graph in Figure 1, two cyclic samples AT1, AT2 are plotted against a time axis  $t$ . The  $x$  axis, denoted by  $t$ , has the cyclic time subdivisions  $t_1$  to  $t_4$ . A further time marker  $ts_1$  indicates the end of a time difference  $ZD_1$ , which extends from the time  $t_2$  until  $ts_1$ .

**[0013]** Samples of a process variable  $P$  are plotted on the  $y$  axis. The  $y$  axis is denoted as  $P(t)$ . The samples AT1, AT2 have, respectively, associated instantaneous values  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , and are likewise plotted on the  $y$  axis. Furthermore, the graph shows a threshold value  $S_1$ , which is identified as  $p_{max1}$  on the  $y$  axis. The threshold value  $S_1$  is shown as a horizontal line in Figure 1.

**[0014]** The samples AT1, AT2 represent the instantaneous values  $p(t)$  recorded at the time  $t_2$ . The time  $t_2$  is identified on the graph by a vertically running dashed line. The samples AT1 and AT2 are connected by a solid line, which is continued by a dashed line at the same gradient after the time  $t_2$ . At the threshold value crossing time  $ts_1$ , this straight line dissects the threshold value  $S_1$ , thus identifying the threshold value crossing

SD1. There is a time difference ZD1 between the present time  $t_2$  and the threshold value crossing time  $ts_1$ .

**[0015]** The samples AT1 and AT2 can be used to deduce a threshold value crossing time  $ts_1$  by linear extrapolation with the aid of an open-loop and/or closed-loop control program. For example, the time difference ZD1 remaining until  $ts_1$  can be used to start a timer which provides an identification signal when this time elapses, and the occurrence of which can initiate an action which is independent of the sampling cycle. The setting up of a timer allows time intervals to be defined, immediately following which an action is intended to be carried out, even at a time between two samples.

**[0016]** The sampling cycles A12 to A34 are shown below the time axis  $t$  of the graph in Figure 1 in the form of rectangular time intervals. Sampling cycles A23 and A34 which have not yet taken place at the time  $t_2$  are shown with dashed borders.

**[0017]** In the embodiment of the invention shown in Figure 1, an open-loop and/or closed-loop control program can predict the threshold value crossing time  $ts_1$  in the sampling cycle A34. No hardware assemblies, such as comparators, are required to do this. Since the system knows the time  $ts_1$  in advance, it is also feasible for measures to be initiated in advance to largely prevent the instantaneous values  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  of the process variable P from overshooting. These measures may, for example, depend on the gradient of the approximation function of the samples AT1 and AT2, and/or of the time difference ZD1. A preferred feature here is that the system is already expecting the process variable P to overshoot a threshold value S1. When using hardware comparators, it is impossible

to react to an event until it occurs. Taking into account the signal delay time and processing time, the process variable P may already have risen well above the threshold value S1 at the reaction time.

**[0018]** The graph in Figure 2 shows a process variable profile of cyclic samples, which is approximated using a mathematical function. The sample AT5 was recorded at the time t5, the sample AT6 at the time t6, and the sample AT7 at the time t7. The instantaneous values of the process variable P are identified by P5 to P7 on y axis p(t). Furthermore a threshold value S2 is shown as a horizontal line on the graph, and is identified as pmax2 on the y axis. The samples AT5 to AT7 are described by a mathematical approximation function and are shown by a solid line until the time t7. The rest of the function profile is shown split into two dashed lines and identified by 1) or 2). An open-loop and/or closed-loop control program can determine that the threshold value crossing time ts2 or ts3, respectively, will occur in the sampling cycle A89.

**[0019]** The instantaneous sampling time t7 is also shown in Figure 2 by a vertical dashed line. Since the initially predicted threshold value crossing time ts2 occurs in the next sampling cycle A89 after this time, the timing mechanism is started using the time difference ZD2 relating to the time t7. If there are a number of sampling cycles A12 to A89 between the instantaneous sampling time and the threshold value crossing time ts1 to ts3, then the updating of the process variables P can lead to a new threshold value crossing time ts1 to ts3 being determined.

**[0020]** This is the situation shown in the graph in Figure 2. It is assumed that the approximation function profile changes, on the basis of a future sample at the time  $t_8$ , in such a manner that its values are no longer on the dashed function line a), but instead on the function line b). This results in a new threshold value crossing SD3 at the time  $t_{s3}$ . The timer, which originally times out at the planned time  $t_{s2}$ , is started at the time  $t_8$  with a newly determined remaining time difference  $ZD3$ , and now times out at the time  $t_{s3}$ . This continual readjustment of the threshold value crossing time  $t_{s1}$  to  $t_{s3}$  allows more accurate prediction of threshold value crossings SD1 to SD3.

**[0021]** Figure 3 shows a symbolic closed-loop control program with a model simulation of an open-loop and/or closed-loop control path. In this case, an open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R has the input variables EG1 to EG3 and the manipulated variables SG1 to SG3. The open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R has a rectangular outer contour to which the input variables EG1 to EG3, which are represented by arrows, lead. The manipulated variables SG1 to SG3 represent output variables from the open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R. Further input variables EG1 to EG3 and manipulated variables SG1 to SG3, which are not shown for the sake of clarity, are each represented by three vertically aligned dots underneath the arrow input and output variables.

**[0022]** A model simulation M of a technical process is contained in the open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R. This simulation M was produced from knowledge of the characteristic values relating to the process. An arrow-like link leads from the

model simulation M to a block diagram denoted as SP. This provides a threshold value check in the open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R. At least one manipulated variable SG1 to SG3 is passed from the open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R to the model simulation M. This is indicated by an arrow-like link from the manipulated variable SG3 to the model M.

**[0023]** Knowledge of the dynamic characteristic values of the technical process allows the future profile of a process variable P to be determined considerably more exactly. Depending on the requirements, it is possible to use a model M of greater or lesser complexity. It is even feasible to use an adaptive model in this case. The profile of a process variable P thus allows specific parameters to be refined or updated.

**[0024]** It is also feasible to use a future threshold value crossing SD1 to SD3 to vary a manipulated variable SG1 to SG3 even in advance by means of an open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R, so as to achieve a counteracting effect on the profile of the process variable P.

**[0025]** When simulating the profile of a process variable P, it is possible for a mathematical approximation function represented by the samples AT1, AT2, AT5-AT7 to directly have the profile of an  $n^{\text{th}}$  order mathematical function. However, it is also possible to use a filter function before the simulation of the function profile, so that the measured values do not necessarily coincide with some of the function values of the approximation function. This is the case with the function profile for the process variable P, shown in the graph in Figure 2. A filter function filters out, for example, noise

around the samples AT1, AT2, AT5-AT7, and then uses an approximation function to determine a function profile. Furthermore, hardware filtering is also feasible, filtering the samples AT1, AT2, AT5 to AT7 before processing them in an open-loop and/or closed-loop control program R.

NY02:335432.1